

Property Investment Board

Date: WEDNESDAY, 15 NOVEMBER 2017

Time: 8.30 am

Venue: COMMITTEE ROOMS, 2ND FLOOR, WEST WING, GUILDHALL

Members: Deputy Alastair Moss (Chairman)

Deputy Tom Sleigh (Deputy Chairman)

Chris Boden Sir Mark Boleat

Deputy Keith Bottomley Deputy Michael Cassidy

John Chapman

Alderman Alison Gowman

Christopher Hill Dhruv Patel

Deputy Philip Woodhouse Alderman Peter Hewitt Stuart Corbyn (Co-opted) Tony Joyce (Co-opted) David Shaw OBE (Co-opted) David Brooks Wilson (Co-opted)

Enquiries: John Cater

john.cater@cityoflondon.gov.uk

Breakfast will be served from 8.00am

John Barradell
Town Clerk and Chief Executive

AGENDA

Part 1 - Public Agenda

- 1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE
- 2. MEMBERS' DECLARATIONS UNDER THE CODE OF CONDUCT IN RESPECT OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA
- 3. MINUTES

To approve the public minutes of the Property Investment Board meeting held on 11 October 2017.

For Decision (Pages 1 - 4)

4. CITY SURVEYOR'S DEPARTMENT RISK REGISTER – 2ND QUARTER PROGRESS

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 5 - 28)

5. MIPIM PROPERTY CONFERENCE

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 29 - 36)

- 6. QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE BOARD
- 7. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT
- 8. **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC**

MOTION – That under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act.

For Decision

Part 2 - Non-Public Agenda

9. **NON PUBLIC MINUTES**

To approve the non-public minutes of the Property Investment Board meeting held on

For Decision (Pages 37 - 48)

ALL ESTATES

10. CITY SURVEYOR'S DEPARTMENT BUSINESS PLAN - 2ND QUARTER PROGRESS

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Information (Pages 49 - 58)

11. **RENTAL FORECASTS QUARTERLY MONITORING REPORT** Report of the City Surveyor.

For Information (Pages 59 - 68)

BRIDGE HOUSE ESTATES

12. BRIDGE HOUSE ESTATE LETTING REPORT - ELECTRA HOUSE - 84 MOORGATE, RENT REVIEW

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 69 - 74)

13. BRIDGE HOUSE ESTATE LETTING REPORT - 1-5 LONDON WALL BUILDINGS - NEW LETTING - VARIOUS FLOORS

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 75 - 90)

14. **BRIDGE HOUSE ESTATE - CROSSRAIL UPDATE REPORT** Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 91 - 94)

CITY'S ESTATE

15. CITY'S ESTATE LETTING REPORT - 62 SOUTH MOLTON, GROUND AND BASEMENT – SURRENDER AND RE-GRANT OF NEW LEASE

For Decision (Pages 95 - 100)

16. CITY'S ESTATE LETTING REPORT -THE WINDMILL PUBLIC HOUSE, MILL STREET - SURRENDER AND REGRANT

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 101 - 106)

17. CITY'S ESTATE LETTING REPORT - NEW LETTING SUITES K&L1 EAST POULTRY AVENUE, SMITHFIELD MARKET, LONDON EC1A 9PT Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 107 - 118)

18. CITY'S ESTATE LETTING REPORT - 4/10 NORTH ROAD, PART FIRST FLOOR - NEW LETTING TO AUTUMNPAPER LIMITED

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision

(Pages 119 - 126)

19. CITY'S ESTATE LETTING REPORT - 50 GRESHAM STREET - GROUND FLOOR AND BASEMENT - RENT REVIEW, VARIATION OF LEASE AND GRANT OF REVERSIONARY LEASE TO SOHO COFFEE SHOP LIMITED Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 127 - 132)

CITY FUND ESTATE

20. CITY FUND/CITY'S ESTATE - QUEENS QUAY RESIDENTIAL LEASE EXTENSION UPDATE

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 133 - 140)

21. CITY FUND/CITY'S ESTATE - SALE OF 193 FLEET STREET, EC4 Report of the City Surveyor.

To Follow.

For Decision

22. CITY FUND - SMITHFIELD MUSEUM RELOCATION

Report of the City Surveyor.

To Follow.

For Decision

23. CITY FUND LETTING REPORT - NEW LEASE, 3RD FLOOR, 6 BROAD STREET PLACE

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision (Pages 141 - 146)

24. CITY FUND LETTING REPORT - LEASE RENEWAL, GROUND FLOOR, NEW LIVERPOOL HOUSE, 15/17 ELDON STREET

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision

(Pages 147 - 152)

25. CITY FUND LETTING REPORT - RENT REVIEW - 80/81 FLEET STREET, EC4 (RETAIL GROUND)

Report of the City Surveyor.

For Decision

(Pages 153 - 158)

- 26. NON-PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE BOARD
- 27. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT AND WHICH THE BOARD AGREES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILST THE PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED



PROPERTY INVESTMENT BOARD

Wednesday, 11 October 2017

Minutes of the meeting of the Property Investment Board held at the Guildhall EC2 at 1.45 pm

Present

Members:

Deputy Alastair Moss (Chairman) Dhruv Patel

Deputy Tom Sleigh (Deputy Chairman)

Chris Boden

Deputy Philip Woodhouse
Alderman Peter Hewitt

Deputy Keith Bottomley Tony Joyce

Officers:

Paul Wilkinson - City Surveyor

Nicholas Gill - City Surveyor's Department
Neil Robbie - City Surveyor's Department
Brian Brierley - City Surveyor's Department
Tom Leathart - City Surveyor's Department
Andrew Cross - City Surveyor's Department
City Surveyor's Department
Comptroller and City Solicitor

Philip Gregory - Chamberlains Hazel Lerigo - Chamberlains

Amanda Thompson - Town Clerk's Department

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Alderman Alison Gowman.

2. MEMBERS' DECLARATIONS UNDER THE CODE OF CONDUCT IN RESPECT OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

The Chairman declared an interest in item 24 – City's Estate Letting report – 10 South Crescent and advised that he would leave the meeting during consideration of this item and the Deputy Chairman would take the Chair.

3. MINUTES

RESOLVED – That the public minutes and non-public summary of the meeting held on 6 September be approved.

4. APPOINTMENT OF CO-OPTED MEMBERS

RESOLVED – That David Shaw OBE and Stuart Corbyn be appointed as Coopted Members.

5. **QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE BOARD** There were no questions.

6. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT There was no other business.

7. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC

RESOLVED – That under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act.

8. **NON PUBLIC MINUTES**

RESOLVED – That the non-public minutes of the meeting held on 6 September be approved.

9. REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN BETWEEN MEETINGS

Members received a report of the Town Clerk concerning action taken since the last meeting of the Board.

RESOLVED – That the report be noted.

10. QUARTERLY DELEGATED AUTHORITIES REPORT - 1ST JULY - 30TH SEPTEMBER 2017, CITY FUND, CITY'S ESTATE & BRIDGE HOUSE ESTATES

Members received a report advising of property transactions approved under delegated authority between the 1st July 2017 to 30th September 2017.

11. MEMBERS BRIEFING SUMMARY - INTERNAL AUDIT AND DIRECTLY MANAGED PROPERTY LEASE ISSUE - (BARTON LYLE CASE)

Members received a report of the Chamberlain regarding a property lease issue.

12. PROPOSED NEW COMBINED COURT FACILITY - FEASIBILITY STUDY

Members considered a report of the Town Clerk and City Surveyor concerning a proposed new combined court facility.

13. GLA ROADS – LAND DISPUTE WITH TRANSPORT FOR LONDON

The Committee considered a report of the Comptroller and City Solicitor concerning a land dispute with Transport for London.

14. BRIDGE HOUSE ESTATES - 1/5 LONDON WALL BUILDINGS , EC2 - STRATEGY REPORT

The Committee considered a report of the City Surveyor concerning the strategy for dealing with the asset management of 1-5 London Wall Buildings.

15. BRIDGE HOUSE ESTATES - BRIDGEMASTER'S RESTAURANT AND WINE BAR - LETTING UPDATE REPORT

Members considered a report concerning the letting of the Bridgemaster's House restaurant ("the restaurant") and the basement reservoir wine bar ("the reservoir") at Tower Bridge, London, SE1.

16. BRIDGE HOUSE ESTATES - FINSBURY HOUSE LETTING TO CITY OF LONDON POLICE - RECONCILIATION OF FUNDS

The Committee considered a report of the City Surveyor concerning Finsbury House and its' letting to the City of London Police.

17. CITY FUND (STRATEGIC PROPERTY ESTATE) - RENEWAL OF THE LEASE OF PART 43-51 WORSHIP STREET, EC2A

The Committee considered a report of the City Surveyor concerning renewal of the lease at part of 43-51 Worship Street, London. EC2A 2DX.

18. CITY FUND (STRATEGIC PROPERTY ESTATE) - LETTING REPORT - 4/14 TABERNACLE STREET - GRANT OF NEW LEASE TO BLACK TOMATO LIMITED

Members considered a report of the City Surveyor in relation to a lease at 4/14 Tabernacle Street.

19. CITY FUND (STRATEGIC PROPERTY ESTATE) - 4-14 TABERNACLE STREET ISSUES REPORT

Members considered an issues report in relation to the project at 4-14 Tabernacle Street.

20. CITY FUND - AMEN LODGE, WARWICK LANE - FREEHOLD DISPOSAL (LEASEHOLD ENFRANCHISEMENT)

Members considered a report of the City Surveyor in relation to the disposal of the City's freehold interest in Amen Lodge, Warwick Lane EC4.

21. CITY'S ESTATE - LETTING REPORT - NEW LETTING AT 4/10 NORTH ROAD - GROUND FLOOR (AUTUMNPAPER LTD)

The Committee received a report of the City Surveyor with regard to the letting of a new lease on the Ground Floor of 4/10 North Road, London.

22. CITY'S ESTATE - LETTING REPORT - NEW LETTING AT 4/10 NORTH ROAD - FIRST FLOOR (BRAVADO LTD)

Members received a report of the City Surveyor in relation to premises on the Part First Floor of 4/10 North Road, London, N7.

23. CITY'S ESTATE - LETTING REPORT - NEW LETTING AT 4/10 NORTH ROAD - 3RD FLOOR (REED IN PARTNERSHIP LTD)

Members received a report of the City Surveyor in relation to premises on the Third Floor of 4/10 North Road, London, N7 at a rent of £184,758 per annum exclusive.

24. CITY'S ESTATE - LETTING REPORT - LEASE VARIATION - 10 SOUTH CRESCENT (GARDINER & THEOBALD LTD)

The Chairman left the meeting during consideration of this item and the meeting was chaired by the Deputy Chairman.

Members received a report of the City Surveyor in respect of Gardiner & Theobald's Head Office at 10 South Crescent (227 – 233 Tottenham Court Road).

25. CITY'S ESTATE - LETTING REPORT - RENT REVIEW - 10-12 BREWERY ROAD, N7

Members considered a report in relation to 10-12 Brewery Road, N7.

26. NON-PUBLIC QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE BOARD

The questions were noted.

27. ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT AND WHICH THE BOARD AGREES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILST THE PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED

The items of any of business were noted.

The meeting closed at 3.15pm
Chairman

Contact Officer: John Cater john.cater@cityoflondon.gov.uk

Agenda Item 4

Committee(s):	Date:
Property Investment Board	15 November 2017
Corporate Asset Sub (Finance) Committee	22 November 2017
Subject:	Public
City Surveyor's Departmental Quarterly Risk Register	
Update	
Report of:	For Information
The City Surveyor (CS 472/17)	
Report author:	
Faith Bowman/Pascale Yart, City Surveyor's Department	

Summary

This report has been produced to provide your committee with a quarterly update on the management of high level risks within the City Surveyor's Department.

There are seven amber risks currently recorded on the City Surveyor's risk register. There are no red risks.

Since the last report one risk has reduced and will be taken off the departmental risk register: SUR SMT 008 Performance failure in service based property contracts.

Whilst risks associated with buildings within the Bridge House Estates are included within the risks recorded, the department has prepared a separate list of risks relating specifically to the five City bridges.

These will be included in order to comply with the Charity Commission's Statement of Recommended Practice (SORP) which requires that these risks are reviewed at least annually to ensure that existing risks are reconsidered, new risks are identified and that appropriate measures are in place to mitigate those risks.

Recommendation(s)

Members are asked to note the report and the actions taken within the City Surveyor's Department to effectively monitor and manage risks arising from our operations.

Main Report

Background

1. The Risk Management Framework of the City of London Corporation requires each Chief Officer to report regularly to Committee the key risks faced in their department. The Property Investment Board and Corporate Asset Sub Committee have determined that they will receive the City Surveyor's Departmental risk register on a quarterly basis.

Current Position

- 2. The City Surveyor's Department Risk Register currently contains seven amber risks (there are no red risks).
- 3. Since the last report to your committee two risks have been reduced;
 - SMT 004 Inability to deliver savings required by Service Based Review Decreased from (Amber 12) to (Amber 8)
 - <u>SMT 008 Performance failure in service based property contracts</u> Decreased from (Amber 8) to (Green 4). Current mitigation actions relating to this risk have now been completed. Therefore this risk has been de-escalated and is now being monitored by the Operations Group.
- 4. The last assessment of the department's risks was carried out on the 16th September.
- 5. One risk, SUR SMT 008 Performance failure in service based property contracts, has reduced in risk score from 8 (Amber) to 4 (Green).
- 6. This risk has been taken off the departmental register and moved to the service level risk register of the Operations Group where it will continue to be monitored.
- 7. Future quarterly assessments are scheduled in December 2017 and March 2018.
- 8. Since our last assessment, the department has commenced recording risks that specifically relate to the five City bridges; the risks associated with the City bridges will be reported to your committee once a year.
- 9. These risks will be assessed in conjunction with the City Engineer, Department of Built Environment, who provides engineering expertise to the City Surveyor.
- 10. As property assets, the bridges maintained by the Trust fall within the responsibility of both the Property Investment Board and Corporate Asset Sub (Finance) Committees.
- 11. The completed assessments have been included in this report in order to comply with the Charity Commission's Statement of Recommended Practice (SORP).

- 12. The City Bridges risk register contains three Red risks, four Amber risks and one Green risk. The City bridges risk register is attached as Appendix 2.
 - SUR CB 001 Amber (8) Insufficient maintenance
 - SUR CB 002 Amber (8) Inherent design capability and material defects
 - SUR CB 003 Red (16) Substantial vessel strikes
 - SUR CB 004 Amber (8) Vehicle damage
 - SUR CB 005 Green (4) Changes in legislation
 - SUR CB 006 Red (16) Wanton damage/ terrorism
 - SUR CB 007 Red (16) Tunnelling for the Thames Tideway Tunnel
 - SUR CB 008 Amber (8) Statutory undertakers causing damage

Conclusion

13. Members are asked to note the recent changes to the Departmental Risk Register and that the risk management processes within the City Surveyor's Department adhere to the requirements of the City Corporation's Risk Management Framework.

Appendices

Appendix 1 - City Surveyor's Departmental Risk Register

Appendix 2 - City Bridges Risk Register

Background Papers

The City Surveyor (CS 359/17)

Faith Bowman / Pascale Yart

City Surveyor's Department

T: 020 7332 3494 E: faith.bowman@cityoflondon.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 1 CSD Departmental Risk Register



Rows are sorted by Risk Score

Code & Title: SUR SMT SENIOR MANAGEMENT TEAM - (High Level) DEPARTMENT RISKS 7

Risk no, Title, Chation date, oner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
Not maximising operational property/building performance 10-Feb-2015 Peter Young	Cause: Reduced budgets/lack of expertise in management Event: Insufficient resources / poor asset management / poor allocation of resources Impact: Not maximising operational property / building performance (Reduced rental income, reduced capital value, increased vacancies, increased breakdown of M&E services, reduced customer satisfaction, poor building use and efficiency)	Likelihood	12	This risk relates to property / building performance and specifically includes the 'Bow Wave'. The principal mitigation actions are related to forecasting and monitoring the allocation of financial and human resources; £14m has been added over four years to the City fund. 16 Oct 2017	Impact	4	31-Mar- 2018	⇔ No change

Action no, Title,	Description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 002a	Budget Monitoring Working Party and Senior	The Chamberlain's report to Resource Allocation Sub-committee about measures to reduce the	Peter	16-Oct-	31-Mar-

Ensure appropriate funding of Repairs & Maintenance	Management Team regularly review budgets.		Collinson; Nicholas Gill; Peter Young	2017	2018
SUR SMT 002d Operational Property Review	1 2	Deliver the Operational Property Review in order to ensure efficient and effective property management. In progress.	Peter Young		31-Mar- 2018

SUR SMT 005 Recruitment and retention of property professionals Cause: A strong property and construction market and retention of property professionals Cause: A strong property and construction market and retention of property professionals Cause: A strong property and construction market and retention of property professionals Cause: A strong property and construction market and retention of property professionals Cause: A strong property and construction market and retention of property and construction market and retention of property professionals Cause: A strong property and construction market and retention of property and construction market and retention construction market and retention of property and construction market and retention construction market and retention construction and retention and retention of property and construction market and retention construction and retention construction market and retention construction and retention construction and retention construction market and retention construction	Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & S	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
bonus and benefits package, including holiday allowance. • A report to compare the City of London Corporation's total reward package for roles listed as compared to the market place.	Recruitment and retention of property professionals Day O D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Event: Increasingly attractive remuneration packages offered elsewhere Impact: Increased vacancies, objectives unachieved or		12	proving challenging to recruit Chartered Surveyors, Chartered Engineers as the base salaries are lower than those being offered in the private sector at this moment in time. As a result, new staff recruited to these positions generally have less experience than desired; as a consequence this requires closer supervision by existing staff this is having an impact on delivery. In order to provide robust evidence to support this view, the following actions are being undertaken: • Independent report on total reward, to include current base annual salaries, bonus and benefits package, including holiday allowance. • A report to compare the City of London Corporation's total reward package for roles listed as compared to the market place.	_	4		No change

Action no Title,	o, Description	Latest Note	i iigi i	Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SM' Adopt an	T 005a Encourage CoL to adapt and change the approach to d Reward and Earnings Package	ongoing	Paul Wilkinson		30-Apr- 2018

Change Approach				
	particular focus on talent management, reward and	Recently approved flexibility in market forces will be applied where appropriate	Paul Wilkinson	31-Mar- 2018
SUR SMT 005C Outsource work		Consider work that will have to be outsourced due to lack of expertise		 31-Mar- 2018

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
Page 63-Mar-2015	Cause: Unexpected change or unknown impact of macroeconomic policy (decision to terminate membership of E.U., change in interest rate, exchange rate, taxation, government policy etc.) Event: Business sentiment changes and U.K. / London becomes less attractive to invest in, to do business in or to move to. Property market becomes increasingly challenging. Impact: Service objectives are not achieved (income, yield, voids)	Impact	8	The strategy is to maintain a diverse portfolio that reduces the impact of this risk. This includes 1. Use (office, retail, industrial) 2. Location (City, Southwark, West End etc.) 3. Tenancies (Long term Headlease geared, FRI, directly managed) 4. Covenants (multinationals, SME) 5. Asset management (lease renewals, voids, arrears, etc) 16 Oct 2017	Impact	4	31-Mar- 2018	No change

Action no, Title,	Description	Latest Note	Managed By	Latest Note Date	Due Date
Impact of	Impact of decision to terminate membership of E.U. the General Election and other political and economic decisions	SMT continues to monitor the position.	Nicholas Gill		31-Mar- 2018
Maintain a	Maintain a diverse mix of space, locations, and tenants to ensure the business has wide market appeal and is not reliant on particular business sector	IPG MT monitors development of property portfolio and tenant mix.	Nicholas Gill		31-Mar- 2018

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR SMT 004 Inability to deliver savings required by Service Based Review 03-Mar-2015 Paul Wilkinson	Cause: Necessary changes to service delivery are not being implemented Event: Saving targets not achieved Impact: Objective not achieved	Likelihood		2017/18 savings are on target which will potentially be supplemented by savings from the next generation building repairs and maintenance contract (BRM2) which commenced in July 2017. Facilities Management review (Strategic Asset Management Programme) has identified savings for 2018/19 in addition to further savings from BRM2. It must however be noted that more work is required to ensure this is achieved. 10 Oct 2017	Likelihood	4	31-Mar- 2019	Decreased Risk Score

Action no, Title,	Description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 004b Corporate Asset Management control of operational property	-	Asset Management service based review commenced. Scope of review agreed by SAM Programme Board. Recruitment of Project Manager and Business Analyst still underway. Chief Officers to attend. Individual workshops currently half way through.	Peter Young	10-Oct- 2017	31-Mar- 2018
SUR SMT 004c Rationalising of FM service		Guildhall FM Team transfer to Operations Group is planned for October 2017. Continue to progress reviews of FM services and staffing requirements. Recruitment to posts where gaps in capacity are identified.	Peter Collinson	10-Oct- 2017	31-Mar- 2018

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR SMT 003 Failure to maximise Guildhall complex performance Page 15 03-Mar-2015 Peter Young	Cause: Increasing utilisation of Guildhall including private events Risk: Inadequate budget provision for increasing running costs Effect: Increase in running costs for City Surveyors (Guildhall Admin budget)	Likelihood	6	The Chamberlain's Corporate Finance team is preparing a Guildhall Events Financial Statement. Report will be presented to Remembrancer's Management Team, Cross-cutting Officer Events Group and then onto Members - Hospitality Working Party (HWP). Plan is for Financial Statement to be produced twice a year for reporting to HWP • following year end – June meeting • when Charging Policy considered in October or November - HWP. 16 Oct 2017	Tikelihood Impact	4	31-Mar- 2018	No change

Action no, Title,	Description	Latest Note	ε ,	Latest Note Date	Due Date
	event spaces	Corporate Finance team is preparing a Guildhall Events Financial Statement. Report will be presented to Remembrancer's Management Team, Cross-cutting Officer Events Group and then onto Member - Hospitality Working Party (HWP).	Peter Young		31-Mar- 2018
SUR SMT 003d BRM2 Savings	BRM2 Savings	Draft report to be prepared.			31-Mar- 2018

SUR SMT 009 Optimise effectiveness and use of the Oracle Property Management System Display Cause: Oracle Property module failure to meet business needs	Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating of	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
	Optimise effectiveness and use of the Oracle Property Management System	needs Event: Inappropriate technological solution or unsuccessful project management or failure to implement an appropriate management framework Impact: Unable to manage property portfolio / loss of income and poor maintenance of property and lease		6	progressing, albeit slowly. These include ensuring the business as usual model is developed, outstanding issues are resolved, enhancement programme is progressed and that issues with the Data Loader are resolved. Additionally there are provisional arrangements in place to manage system tasks that are not yet fully operational.		2	2018	

Action no,	Description	Latest Note	Managed By	Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR SMT 009c Business as usual model	Ensure Business as usual model is developed	No significant change since last assessment. Business as usual model - work in progress.	Nicholas Gill		01-Jul- 2018
SUR SMT 009d Outstanding issues		Rent deposits, leases events, tenancy schedule and Archibus reports. These are currently in User Acceptance Testing.	Nicholas Gill		01-Jul- 2018
		Tenant names and addresses, property aged debt report, tenant debt report are with the Oracle Development Team.			

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating &	z Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR SMT 008 Performance failure in service based property contracts Page 17	Cause: Contractors fail to carry out contractual obligations Event: Failure of service delivery and/or non compliance with statutory obligations Impact: Service not delivered / work programmes uncompleted / financial penalties / occupier dissatisfaction	Impact	4	This risk allows the Senior Management Team to monitor the risks associated with the corporate property contracts. We are currently monitoring compliance with contractual obligations. Our current contracts are: - BRM - Lifts/escalators - Cleaning - Window cleaning - Security - Pest Control - Water coolers - Catering - Post/Courier Investment property specialist contracts eg. Drainage, locksmith etc All mitigation actions have now been achieved. The risk score has been assessed and has been reduced.	Impact	4	31-Mar-2018	Indicator
				This risk will therefore be taken off the department risk register and put on				

ס
ac
Э
$\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$
$\mathbf{\omega}$

			risk register (Operations all contracts listed ntained.		
		It will continu Service Level	ned to be monitored at		
03-Mar-2015		16 Oct 2017			Decreased Risk
Peter Collinson					Score

Action 1 Title,	no,	Description	Latest Note	į,	Latest Note Date	Due Date
	MT 008g ontractor		Transition to the BRM2 - completed	Peter Collinson		31-Mar- 2018

This page is intentionally left blank

SUR City Bridges - detailed report EXCLUDING COMPLETED ACTIONS

Appendix 2



Rows are sorted by Risk Score

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
R CB 003 by Bridges: Bridge House Lates - substantial vessel strikes 19-Jun-2017 Paul Wilkinson	Cause: Substantial Vessel strike Event: Collapse or become unstable Impact: Death / injury, disruption of traffic, reputational damage, additional costs to repair / replace	Likelihood	16	Navigation controlled by the Port of London Authority and navigation lights fixed to bridges. 16 Oct 2017	Likelihood	16	31-Mar- 2017	

Action no, Action owner	Description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR CB 003a			****	- · · · I	31-Mar- 2018

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR CB 006 City Bridges: Bridge House Estates - Wanton Damage / Terrorism	Cause: Wanton Damage / Terrorism Event: Collapse or become unusable Impact: Reputational damage, disruption to traffic, additional costs to repair / replace	Likelihood	16	A review is being undertaken since the London Bridge incident (June 2017) Awaiting information from the Police to assess the threat level The temporary mitigation by the Metropolitan Police reduces this risk slightly but this is not full Hostile Vehicle Mitigation (HVM) and does not protect all of the bridges. 16 Oct 2017	Impact	12	31-Mar- 2018	
2								

Action no, Action owner	Description	Latest Note	ε,	Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR CB 006a	Security	There is Police presence but the bridges are located outside the security cordon. Tower Bridge has cameras and security requirements for visitors Temporary mitigation by the Metropolitan Police but not full Hostile Vehicle Mitigation - Ongoing.		- 0	31-Mar- 2018

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR CB 007 City Bridges: Bridge House Estates - Tunnelling for the Thames Tideway Tunnel 19-Jun-2017 Paul Wilkinson	Cause: Bridges may become inoperable due to settlement Event: Tunnelling for the Thames Tideway Tunnel Impact: Closure, reputational damage, disruption to traffic, additional costs to repair / replace	Likelihood	16	Involvement to agree least disruptive route and agree best mitigation measures. 16 Oct 2017	Impact	16	31-Mar- 2017	

Ž	Otion owner	Description	Latest Note	e ,	Latest Note Date	Due Date
5	SUR CB 007a	1 &				31-Mar- 2018

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR CB 001 City Bridges: Bridge House Estates - Insufficient maintenance	Cause: Insufficient maintenance Event: Bridges may collapse or become unusable Effect: Death / injury, disruption to traffic, reputational damage, additional costs to repair / replace	Likelihood	8	The Director of Built Environment undertakes bi-annual surveys of the bridges and has a programme of works to maintain the River Crossings and approaches.	Likelihood	8	31-Mar- 2018	•
19-Jun-2017 Paul Wilkinson				16 Oct 2017				

Aution owner	Description	Latest Note	2 ,	Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR 001a	Works programme		Paul Monaghan	- · · · I	31-Mar- 2018
SUR 001b	Condition Reports			1	31-Mar- 2018

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR CB 002 City Bridges: Bridge House Estates - Inherent design capability and material defects 19-Jun-2017 Paul Wilkinson	Cause: inherent design and material defects Event: collapse or become unusable Impact: Death / injury, disruption to traffic,reputational damage, additional costs to repair / replace	Likelihood	8	Regular inspections and repair works undertaken by Director of Built Environment. 16 Oct 2017	Likelihood	8	31-Mar- 2018	
ag								
Action no,	Description	Latest Note				Managed By	Latest Note Date	Due Date

Regular inspections and repairs

SUR CB 002a

Regular inspections and repair works undertaken by Director of Built Environment. In respect of Tower Bridge inspections are undertaken place by Open Spaces and the City Surveyors department.

31-May-2018

16-Oct-

2017

Monaghan

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
SUR CB 004 City Bridges: Bridge House Estates - Vehicle damage	Cause: Vehicle damage Event: Damaged or become unstable Impact: Death / injury, disruption to traffic, reputational damage, additional costs to repair / replace	Likelihood	8	Some structures have vehicle restrictions and all are inspected biannually.	Impact	8		-
19-Jun-2017 Paul Wilkinson				16 Oct 2017				

Atjon no, Ation owner	Description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
		Some structures have vehicle restrictions and all are inspected bi-annually. Guildhall Manager to report back on restriction of overweight vehicles on tower bridge.	Paul Monaghan	26-Sep- 2017	31-Mar- 2018
SUR CB 004b	Tower Bridge vehicle weight control	Guildhall Manager to report back on restriction of overweight vehicles on Tower Bridge.	Dorian Price	26-Sep- 2017	31-Dec- 2018

Risk no, title, creation date, owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
Bridge House	Cause: Statutory Undertakers inappropriate works Event: Causing structural damage Impact: Closure, Reputational damage, disruption to traffic, additional costs to repair / replace	Likelihood	8	Maintaining inspections (Note CoL are not Highway Authority for most bridges).	Impact	8	31-Mar- 2018	•
19-Jun-2017				16 Oct 2017				
Paul Wilkinson								
Pa		'		1	•	I.		

Qtion no, Petion owner	Description	Latest Note	į,	Latest Note Date	Due Date
SUR CB 008a	Inspection Regime			1	31-Mar- 2018

Agenda Item 5

Committee(s)	Dated:
Policy & Resources Committee – for decision Planning & Transportation Committee – for decision Property Investment Board – for decision	19/10/2017 24/10/2017 15/11/2017
Public Relations and Economic Development sub- committee – for information	19/10/2017
Subject: Marché International des Professionnels d'Immobilier (MIPIM property conference) 2018	Public
Report of: Director of the Built Environment / The City Surveyor	For Decision

Summary

This report informs your Committees of the City Corporation's proposed programme of activities and budget in respect of the MIPIM property exhibition to be held in Cannes in March 2018. This report follows an initial report on MIPIM 2017 which was submitted in June 2017. Following a subsequent meeting with senior management and elected members in August, we are now seeking approval for the proposed programme and budget outlined in this report.

Recommendation(s)

- I. That this report on MIPIM 2018 is received.
- II. That the Policy & Resources, Planning & Transportation Committees, and the Property Investment Board, approve the activities and team to attend MIPIM together with the proposed total budget not exceeding £98,000.

Main Report

Proposed Programme

- 1. In June 2017, the Policy & Resources and Planning & Transportation Committees approved in principle, the Corporation's attendance at MIPIM 2018 where it was resolved that a more detailed programme of activities and costings be submitted for consideration in October 2017.
- MIPIM is widely recognised as the world's leading and most influential event for the property sector. It is a global marketplace that offers the opportunity to connect with key players in the industry, from investors to end-users and local government to international corporations. In 2017, 24,200 delegates attended from 100 countries.
- 3. Historically, the focus of The City of London Corporation's attendance at MIPIM centres on three main areas of activity:
 - a) Exhibition attendance this includes supporting the City Corporation's part of the larger London exhibition. The City stand is located within the wider

- London area stand and benefits from having the City model as a draw for participants
- b) To actively engage with the development industry through a seminar and various London Stand panel sessions to promote key messages relating to the wellbeing of the City as a place to invest and do business.
- c) Hosting high-level events for specially invited key individuals (City dinners, and a seminar for senior guests and delegates), and to host private meetings over two days with developers, investors and other stakeholders relating to property locations in the Square Mile and City Corporationowned assets.
- 4. In 2018, the MIPIM programme will be shaped by the following headline objectives:
 - a) Promoting the City to the international property investment market, including investors from the Far East, building on last year's research theme of *The City as the original co-working space* while also incorporating the key messages from this year's research theme: *The City as a place for people*
 - b) Managing relationships with and extending hospitality to new and existing investors, developers and influencers
 - c) Positioning the City as a thought leader in property and place making
 - d) Supporting the London stand and a joined-up message of London is Open
 - e) Building relationships with UK cities and regions

Communications strategy:

- 5. In 2017, Media consultants ING were engaged to work with the Director of the Built Environment, the Communications team and CPAT to assist in the development and delivery of a new marketing campaign for 2017 to promote the City to a more diverse business base. The use of the media consultants at MIPIM 2017 was especially relevant to help deliver the campaign and produced tangible benefits through the added value they bought to the City Corporations attendance, particularly through their extensive networks and expertise in relation to attendance of events such as MIPIM. To this end, a media communications agency will be procured in mid-October 2017 to provide joined up media support for projects that span across the Built Environment departments over a five-year term from 2017-2022. Once again, MIPIM will be a key focus for the commissioned agency in 2017-2018 and their brief will include developing key messaging based around the MIPIM strategy and maximising media opportunities before, during and after the event.
- 6. Following feedback from MIPIM 2017, it was felt that a coherent message was lacking on the London Stand. To address this issue, key partners have agreed to use the Mayor's message that "London is open" which will appear in a prominent position both inside and outside the London stand. There will be ongoing collaboration with the Stand organisers, the Mayor's office, London and Partners,

Page 30

London Councils and London First to help further develop an appropriate and coordinated presence on the Stand.

7. In addition to the pan-London messaging, the Corporation will have its own branding and messaging, to be devised by the communications agency in collaboration with CPAT, Economic Development and the Corporation's Communications team. The messaging will build on the campaign at MIPIM 2017 and be used in all marketing materials (including around the City stand), the research report; and will also be integrated into seminars and panel discussions.

City stand:

8. The City stand will remain in the same location inside the London stand with the City model acting as a focal point for delegates. Consideration has been given to hosting meetings away from the noise of the London Stand but this would result in increased cost along and logistical difficulties so it is proposed to continue with the previous arrangement of two meetings rooms adjacent to the City Model. CPAT is currently reviewing opportunities to deliver a more technology focussed experience to compliment the City model and discussions are in hand with a number of prop tech companies to identify how this could be delivered.

Hospitality:

9. In previous years, the City Corporation has hosted three dinners for investors, developers and other key property professionals. In 2018, it is proposed that the team continue to host the main high level dinner on the Wednesday evening but replace the other two smaller dinners hosted on the Tuesday with an early evening drinks reception. It is considered that a drinks reception will provide greater opportunities to engage with a much broader audience (circa 120 guests) which will include targeting of key foreign investors such as those attending from the Far East. In view of the cost to host such an event (circa £17k) it is proposed to partner with the London Chamber of Commerce and City Property Association to achieve value for money and maximise the outreach of the event

Research Report:

10. This year's MIPIM research report will be undertaken by the Economic Development research team and will focus on the *City as a place for people*. The report's themes will examine the drivers of change – including Brexit and advancing technology - on the nature of current and future City jobs and the types of people who fill them. It will examine the implications for the City's physical infrastructure, including workplaces - and the City's wider long-term attractiveness as a location for firms and workers. The report will help inform the top line messaging which will be used in all communications about MIPIM.

MIPIM Team:

11. In order to provide a high level of service and maximise the potential benefits of MIPIM 2018, it is proposed to maintain the same level of officer resourcing as in previous years. Members proposed to attend in 2018 include: The Chairman of the Policy & Resources Committee, The Chairman of the Planning & Transportation Committee and the Chairman of the Property Investment Board who will be accompanied by The Director of the Built Environment, The City Surveyor, The Chief Planning Offic

CPAT Team Manager. To ensure the smooth running of the event, two members of the CPAT team and one member of the PR team will also be in attendance. (Appendix A sets out the Officers that will be attending in addition to the Member team and the specific roles that they will perform)

Financial and risk implications:

12. It is proposed that the cost of attending MIPIM 2018 will be £98,000, an increase of £3,000 on last year's budget. The increase in cost would be as a direct result of hosting a drinks reception for 120 people rather than 2 dinners hosting circa 10 guests. Part of this additional cost will be paid for by contributions from both the London Chamber of Commerce and the City Property Association. The total anticipated budget is set out in the table below and is set alongside the comparable costs for last year.

Item	Budget 2017	Actual spend 2017	Proposed budget 2018
Exhibition and attendance costs: City model, stand, delegate passes, artwork, graphics, literature racks, furniture hire and technical support	£59,500	£59,550	£58,500.
Travel (including transfers), accommodation, subsistence, expenses	£25,000	£24,453	£21,000
Seminar: room hire, technical support &equipment hire, printing	£4500	£4774	£5000
Corporate hospitality (drinks reception and City dinner)	£6000	£6173	£13,500
Total:	£95,000	£94,950	£98,000

13. In the previous sixteen years, each committee has contributed a sum of money for MIPIM in approximate proportion to the level of representation and relevance to the work of each committee. It is proposed that the increase in the budget of £3k be absorbed from the CPAT local risk budget meaning that the anticipated contributions from existing budgets for MIPIM 2018 are:

Policy & Resources Committee Public Relations Office Budget	£5000 – City Fund
Planning & Transportation Committee	£11,250 – City Fund
Property Investment Board between City Fund, City Cash and Bridge House)	£23,750 – (split equally
City Property Advisory Team	£58,000 - City Fund
Total:	£98,000

Legal implications: Page 32

14. The main purpose of the City's attendance is to support key adopted strategies to promote the City as a leading world business centre and encourage inward investment. As such, its power to undertake the activity in its City Fund capacity and to incur City Fund expenditure is in Section 1 of the Localism Act 2011. No power is required in respect of its City's Estate capacity and expenditure. In respect of its involvement and expenditure in its capacity as trustee of Bridge House Estates, this may be considered in the best interests of the charity in that, as a significant owner of property within the City, it is in the charity's interests that inward investment be encouraged and the City's status as leading business centre be promoted. In addition, potential investors with an interest in any particular BHE property will have an opportunity to explore that interest.

Conclusion:

- 15. MIPIM 2017 provided the City Corporation with a global platform to showcase the City's attributes and reposition the Square Mile as a destination for a more diverse range of occupiers. MIPIM is still the premier event of its kind, and it is felt that there is no real alternative to MIPIM at which the City Corporation's message would be as effectively disseminated, given the predominance of senior and influential property professionals attending MIPIM, and the amount of press attention that it receives. It is also felt that the City Corporation's attendance is a key factor in promoting the Square Mile in the face of increasing competition from other centres and countries, and underpinning confidence in London as the leading global financial centre.
- 16. The Corporation's participation is considered a cost-effective means of engaging with key stakeholders to help achieve the Corporation's adopted strategies and polices including promoting the City as a world leading business centre, and encouraging inward investment (See Corporate Strategy and Local Plan). It provides a unique forum to reinforce the City's commitment to those aims to a wide audience in a very direct way"
- 17. MIPIM 2018 takes place from 13th-16th March and will provide similar opportunities as experienced at MIPIM 2017. The Policy & Resources Committee, Planning and Transportation Committee, and the Property Investment Board are now asked to agree the proposed budget and the list of attendees.

Contact: Linzi Clark Linzi.clark@cityoflondon.gov.uk 020 7332 3493

Appendices:

Appendix 1 MIPIM 2018 officer representation

Appendix 1 – MIPIM 2018 officer representation

The City Surveyor

City Corporation's strategic Officer lead in relation to the investment property portfolio and as Head of CPAT, strategic lead in relation to the economic promotion of the City to businesses and investors. The City Surveyor will be a key person required to engage directly with those investors and developers active in the London market looking to obtain guidance on property related matters. Offers to engage in broader discussions and learning opportunities about the wider market will also be available

Director of the Built Environment

City Corporation's strategic Officer lead looking at the future development of cities engaging with key investors, developers and exhibiting cities and the City hosted events and participating as a panellist on key panel discussions

Chief Planning Officer

Providing support at relevant meetings with investors and developers providing strategic advice and guidance on specific City sites and attending City Corporation hosted events.

Director of Investment Property Group

Providing support at relevant meetings with investors and developers providing strategic advice and guidance on specific City owned sites and attending City Corporation hosted events.

CPAT Manager

Providing support to the Senior Member and Officer team attending with key knowledge regarding the investment and property market relating to specifics being discussed at individual meetings and the development of the MIPIM programme.

Business Liaison Manager and Business Liaison Assistant

Both are heavily involved in setting up the programme and are required to provide seamless support to the senior officer team in relation to the smooth running of meetings, dinners, research seminar, hotel accommodation, flights and transfers

Communication Officer

Providing communication support to Members and Chief Officers attending MIPIM ensuring communications opportunities are maximised to deliver beneficial coverage of City Corporation attendance.

Page 34

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.









By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.





By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

